

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

April 10, 1990

EPA-SAB-EC-90-011

Honorable William K. Reilly Administrator U. S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Mr. Reilly:

The Science Advisory Board's 1989 Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards (STAA) Subcommittee has completed its review of the 106 papers nominated by the Agency for this year's awards program. As you are aware, the STAA program is sponsored by the Office of Research and Development. Our recommendations for awards and further improvements in the STAA program are discussed in the attached report.

The Subcommittee believes that the STAA program contributes very positively towards strengthening the level of Agency expertise by recognizing researchers for their special achievements. Therefore, we urge that the program receive support from the highest levels of Agency management and that the winners receive broad recognition throughout the Agency. We are pleased to have participated in this process and believe it is appropriate for the Board to continue this annual review function. The Subcommittee recommends that the Agency publicly congratulate these award winners through a special ceremony or by a special publication, such as an <u>EPA Journal</u> feature article, which should be distributed to all <u>EPA employees</u>.

The Subcommittee notes with gratification, that they were able to recommend five Level I Awards this year. Most of the award winners have produced papers that were published in the more prestigious journals in their fields, known for their strict adherence to peer review. The Subcommittee would like to continue to stress the importance of publishing manuscripts in the most rigorously peer reviewed journals in science and technology, because this subjects the foundation for the Agency's regulatory programs to the most critical technical evaluation.

Recognizing the current Agency needs and focus in the areas of waste minimization, multimedia pollution controls, wetlands protection and ecological risk assessment, we look forward to the development of complementary research programs and Agency expertise that will lead to the nomination of superior research papers in these areas. We are also encouraged to see that a few excellent papers were nominated from the EPA regional and headquarters program offices.

The Subcommittee identified three papers of notable quality characterized by careful, thorough, extensive work which did not reach the award level but definitely deserve honorable mention. The Subcommittee hopes that these authors will be recognized appropriately for their high quality work.

The Subcommittee recommends that Agency managers be encouraged to nominate peer reviewed papers from all programs and areas of scientific research. We recommend that the Office of Research and Development close the nominations earlier (in October) and revise its guidance to nominators to further clarify criteria for In addition, greater care should be exercised to nomination. ensure that the nomination packages are complete; including proper endorsements and all of the peer reviewed articles by the EPA authors that are relevant to the achievement.

To ensure the credibility of the review process and broaden the base of expertise used in the review, the Subcommittee obtained additional reviews from experts by mail. We did not attempt to ensure equality of numbers or proportion of awards across the categories or laboratories. Rather, good science and technology were our sole criteria for recommending awards.

The SAB would appreciate being informed of the final disposition of awards. We look forward to serving the Agency again in this important activity.

Sincerely,

Raymond C. Loehr, Chairman

Executive Committee

Science Advisory Board

Raymend C. lock

Calven H Ward Calvin H. Ward, Chairman 1989 Scientific and

Technological Achievement

Awards Subcommittee



Report of the Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards Subcommittee of the Executive Committee

Recommendations on the 1989 EPA STAA Nominations

ABSTRACT

The report represents the conclusions and recommendations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Science Advisory Board regarding the 1989 EPA Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards (STAA) program. The Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards Subcommittee of the Science Advisory Board reviewed and evaluated the 1989 nominations for the STAA program. The Subcommittee evaluated 106 papers that were nominated by EPA managers. The Subcommittee recommended twenty-five papers for awards and also recommended to the Office of Research and Development that three additional papers be recognized as honorable mention. The Subcommittee made no attempt to ensure equality of numbers or proportion of awards across the categories or Agency research components. However, the Subcommittee recommended awards for papers from eleven EPA research laboratories, two EPA regional offices, and one EPA headquarters The Subcommittee recommended that the Agency program office. clarify its instructions to nominators and that the nomination process close in October so that more time could be given for technical review of the nominations by the Subcommittee. The Subcommittee also encouraged the Agency to support the program at the highest levels of management.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

NOTICE

This report has been written as a part of the activities of the Science Advisory Board, a public advisory group providing extramural scientific information and advice to the Administrator and other officials of the Environmental Protection Agency. The Board is structured to provide a balanced expert assessment of scientific matters related to problems facing the Agency. This report has not been reviewed for approval by the Agency; and, hence, the contents of this report do not necessarily represent the views and policies of the Environmental Protection Agency or other agencies in Federal government. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute a recommendation for use.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY SCIENCE ADVISORY BOARD 1989 SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS SUBCOMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN

Dr. Calvin H. Ward
Professor and Chairman
Department Environmental
Science and Engineering
Rice University
Houston, Texas 77251

MEMBERS/CONSULTANTS

- Dr. Kris P. Ananth
 Battelle Memorial Institute
 370 L'Enfant Promenade
 Washington, D.C. 20024
- Dr. Corale L. Brierley Vistatech Partnership, Ltd. Salt Lake City, Utah 84121
- Dr. James Gillett
 Institute of Comparative and
 Environmental Toxicology
 Cornell University
 Ithaca, New York 14853-3001
- Dr. Karen Hulebak
 National Research Council
 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW
 Washington, D.C. 20418
 (Currently with Environ Corp.
 Arlington, VA.)
- Dr. James Lamb
 Jellinek, Schwartz, Connolly
 and Freshman
 1350 New York Avenue, NW.
 Suite 400
 Washington, D.C. 20005

Dr. Milagros Simmons
School of Public Health
The University of Michigan
Room 2512
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108

SCIENCE ADVISORY BOARD STAFF

Dr. Edward S. Bender
Biologist and Designated
Federal Official
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Science Advisory Board
401 M Street, SW.
Washington, D.C. 20240

Ms. Frances A. Dolby
Secretary to the Designated
Federal Official

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
2.0	INTRODUCTION
3.0	EVALUATION OF THE 1989 SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS NOMINATIONS
	3.1 Award Recommendations
	3.2 Honorable Mention
	3.3 Other Findings of the Subcommittee 1

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards (STAA) Subcommittee of the Science Advisory Board reviewed and evaluated the 1989 nominations for the STAA program. The Subcommittee evaluated 106 papers that were nominated by EPA research laboratory directors and program division directors. The Subcommittee met in Washington, D.C. on March 5-6, 1990 to determine which papers would be recommended for awards.

The Subcommittee recommended twenty-five papers for awards and recommended that three additional papers be recognized as honorable mention. The Subcommittee made no attempt to ensure equality of numbers or proportion of awards across the categories or Agency research components. However, the Subcommittee recommended awards for papers from eleven EPA research laboratories, two EPA regional offices, and one EPA headquarters program office.

The Subcommittee recommended that the Agency clarify its instructions to nominators and that the nomination process close in October so that more time can be given for technical review of the nominations by the Subcommittee. The Subcommittee also encouraged the Agency to support the program at the highest levels of management.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Request and Charge for Science Advisory Board Review

At the request of the Office of Research and Development (ORD), the Science Advisory Board agreed to convene a committee to review and evaluate scientific papers published by EPA employees that were nominated for the 1989 EPA Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards program. The SAB authorized the formation of a Subcommittee to recommend papers for awards. On February 1, 1990 the Office of Exploratory Research in ORD provided the SAB with copies of 106 nominations, a Statement of the Principles and Processes for the awards program, and rules and advice to nominators. The Office of Exploratory Research grouped the papers into categories and reviewed the nominations for eligibility and the Subcommittee was charged to recommend papers for awards.

The SAB advises the Assistant Administrator for Research and Development of its evaluation and judgement of the nominations, and recommends the winning nominees for each of three Levels of Award. Level I awards are for nominees who have accomplished an exceptionally high-quality research or technological effort. These awards concern the initiation or general revision of

scientific/technological principles or procedures, or highly significant improvements in the value of a device, program or service to the public. Level II awards are to nominees who have accomplished a notably excellent research or technological effort. This award must have timely consequences and contribute as an important achievement within its discipline. Level III awards are to nominees who have accomplished an unusually good research or technological effort. This award must relate to a mission or organizational component of the EPA, or significantly affect an area of science/technology.

2.2 Subcommittee Review Procedures

Copies of all papers and the award program procedures were sent to Subcommittee members for preliminary review to be certain that the members had sufficient expertise to review all nominated papers. As part of the evaluation, Subcommittee members were also asked to rank their own expertise in the field of science and technology addressed by each paper. To ensure the credibility of the review process and broaden the base of expertise used in the review, the Subcommittee obtained additional reviews from experts by mail and would like to recognize the assistance of the following reviewers:

Dr. Lawrence Goldstein Dr. E. Marshall Johnson

Dr. Martha Radike

Dr. Walter Weyzer

Dr. Ronald Wyzga

EPRI, Health Studies Program
Jefferson Medical College
University of Cincinnati
EPRI, Health Studies Program
EPRI, Health Studies Program

The Subcommittee met on March 5 and 6, 1990, in Washington, D.C. in a closed meeting to develop recommendations for awards.

3.0 EVALUATION OF THE 1989 SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS NOMINATIONS

3.1 Award Recommendations

The papers that were recommended for awards were widely distributed among eleven EPA research laboratories, two EPA Regions, and one headquarters office. Awards were recommended for each category of science and technology. This year, a special category was added for review articles. One award was recommended for a critical review in the Health Effects category. A summary of the award recommendations is presented in Table 1.

Although awards were recommended in each category, the Subcommittee noted that there was a lack of scientific research papers nominated for wetlands protection, effluent toxicity reduction, waste minimization, and ecological risk assessment,

Table 1. 1989 Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards Recommendations							
Recommendations	Hurbor Honinsted	1444	S level 2	(1048) 3	Total Mercia	(Percent)	
ontrol Technology	74	٦	0	2	3	₹ 21%)	
≣co1 og y	17		٦	3	4	(23%)	
Monitoring and	13	1	٦	2	4	CSIS	

19

35

 \Box 105

5 (**)

8 (21%)

1 (125)

areas in which the Agency is facing many regulatory questions regarding ecology and control technologies. The Subcommittee believes that the Agency should emphasize the publication of its basic regulatory research in peer reviewed journals because it subjects the foundation for the Agency's regulatory programs to the most rigorous level of technical evaluation. In addition, this emphasis on the quality of technical achievements enhances the stature of the Agency's research and its scientific and technical staff.

Measurement

Health

Fev! ews

Transport and Fate

The Subcommittee recommendations for awards are listed below for five areas: Control Systems and Technology, Ecology, Health Effects, Monitoring and Measurements, and Transport and Fate.

CONTROL SYSTEMS AND TECHNOLOGY

Air and Energy Engineering Research Laboratory Research Triangle Park, North Carolina Frank T. Princiotta, Director

"Fabric Filtration With Integral Particle Charging and LEVEL 1 Collection in a Combined Electric and Flow Field: Part AWARD I. Background, Experimental Work, Analysis of Data, and Approach to the Development of a Mathematical Engineering Design Model, " Journal Electrostatics 20: 247-266 (1988) and "Part II. Development and Verification of the Mathematical Engineering Design Model, " Journal Electrostatics 20: 267-290 (1988).

EPA Author: Norman Plaks

"Structural Changes in Surfactant-Modified Sorbents
During Furnace Injection," AICHE Journal 35: 500-506
(1989) and "Enhancement of Reactivity in SurfactantModified Sorbents for Sulfur Dioxide Control,"
Industrial Engineering Chemistry Research 28: 2398-2400
(1989).
EPA Author: David A. Kirchgessner
Non-EPA Authors: Wojceich Jozewicz (First paper)
and Jeffrey Lorrain (Second paper)

LEVEL 3 "Characterization of Advanced Sorbents for Dry SO₂

AWARD Control," Reactivity of Solids 6:243-262 (1988).

EPA Authors: Charles B. Sedman and Theodore G. Brna

Non-EPA Authors: Wojiech Jozewicz and John C. S. Chang

ECOLOGY

Environmental Research Laboratory-Corvallis
Corvallis, Oregon
Thomas A. Murphy, Director

LEVEL 3 "The Cutworm <u>Peridroma saucia</u> (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

AWARD supports growth and transport of pBR322 bearing

bacteria, " <u>Applied Environmental Microbiology</u>

55(9): 2200-2205.

EPA Authors: John L. Armstrong, L. Arlene Porteous,

and Nathan D. Wood

"Changes in Mallard Hen and Brood Behaviors in Response to Methyl Parathion-Induced Illness of Ducklings,"

Environmental Toxicology Chemistry 7: 499-503 (1988).

EPA Authors: Anne Fairbrother, S. Mark Meyers,

and Richard S. Bennett

Environmental Research Laboratory-Gulf Breeze
Gulf Breeze, Florida
Robert E. Menzer, Director

LEVEL 2 "The Membraneous Labyrinth in Baculovirus-infected Crustacean Cells: Possible Roles in Viral Reproduction," <u>Diseases Aquatic Organisms 7</u>: 39-53 (1989).

EPA Author: John A. Couch

Environmental Research Laboratory-Narragansett Mark O. Hatfield Marine Science Center Newport, Oregon Norbert A. Jaworski, Director

LEVEL 3 "Effects of Mixtures of Sediment Contaminants on the

AWARD Marine Infaunal Amphipod, Rheopoxynius abronius,"

Environmental Toxicology Chemistry 7: 1013-1020 (1988).

EPA Authors: R.C. Swartz, P.F. Kemp, D.W. Schults,

and J.O. Lamberson

HEALTH EFFECTS

Health Effects Research Laboratory-RTP Research Triangle Park, North Carolina Lawrence W. Reiter, Director

- LEVEL 1 "Extrathoracic and Intrathoracic Removal of O₃ in Award Tidal-breathing Humans," <u>Journal Applied Physiology</u>
 65(1): 393-400 (1988).
 EPA Authors: Timothy R. Gerrity, Dennis E. House, and John H. O'Neil
 Non-EPA Authors: Richard A. Weaver and Jon Berntsen
- LEVEL 2 "Pulmonary Function and Symptom Responses After 6.6

 AWARD Hour Exposure to 0.12 ppm Ozone with Moderate Exercise.

 JAPCA 38: 28-35 (1988).

 EPA Authors: Donald H. Horstman

 Non-EPA authors: Lawrence J. Follinsbee and William F.

 McDonnell
- LEVEL 2 "Importance of Glutathione in the Acquisition and Maintenance of Sperm Nuclear Decondensing Activity in Maturing Hamster Occytes," <u>Developmental Biology 125</u>: 181-186 (1988).

 EPA Authors: Sally D. Perreault and Randy R. Barbee Non-EPA authors: Valerie L. Slott
- LEVEL 2 "Incorporation and Disappearance of Oxygen-18 in Lung

 AWARD From Mice Exposed to 1 ppm 18O31," Toxicology Applied

 Pharmacology 98: 75-80.

 EPA Authors: Gary E. Hatch and Ralph Slade

 Non-EPA Authors: Jeffrey Santrock and J.M. Hayes

LEVEL 3 "Human Subject Age and Activity Level: Factors

AWARD Addressed in a Biomathematical Deposition Program for Extrapolation Modelling," Health Physics 57:49-59

(1989)

EPA Author: T.B. Martonen
Non-EPA Authors: R.C. Graham and W. Hofmann

- LEVEL 3 "Conditioned Flavor Aversions: Applications in ASSESSING the Efficacy of Chelators in Heavy-metal Intoxication," Toxicology Applied Pharmacology 88: 397-410 (1987) and "Behavioral Consequences of Chelator Administration in Acute Cadmium Toxicity," Fundamental and Applied Toxicology 11: 416-428 (1988).

 EPA Authors: Jackie D. Farmer and Robert C. McPhail Non-EPA Author: David B. Peele
- "Acute Exposure of the Neonatal Rat to Triethyltin
 Results in Persistent Changes in Neurotypic and
 Gliotypic proteins <u>Journal Pharmacology Experimental</u>
 Therapeutics <u>244</u>: 368-378 (1988) and "Acute Exposure
 of the Neonatal Rat to Tributyltin Results in Decreases
 in Biochemical Indicators of Synaptogenesis and
 Myelinogenesis," <u>Journal Pharmacology Experimental</u>
 Therapeutics <u>246</u>: 445-452 (1988).
 EPA Authors: <u>James P. O'Callaghan and Diane B. Miller</u>

Office of Policy Analysis
Washington, D.C.
Robert Brenner, Director

LEVEL 3 "Mortality and Air Pollution in London: A Time Series

AWARD Analysis," American Journal Epidemiology 131: 185-194

(1990).

EPA Author: Joel Schwartz

Non-EPA Author: Allan Marcus

REVIEW ARTICLE-HEALTH EFFECTS

Health Effects Research Laboratory-RTP Research Triangle Park, North Carolina Lawrence W. Reiter, Director

LEVEL 3 "Genotoxic Activity of Organic Chemicals in Drinking AWARD Water," <u>Mutation Research</u> 196: 211-245 (1988).

EPA Author: John R. Meier

MONITORING AND MEASUREMENT

U.S. EPA Region X
Seattle, Washington
Robie G. Russell, Administrator

LEVEL 1 "Model Complexity and Data Worth: An Assessment of Changes in the Global Carbon Budget," Ecological Modeling 39: 201-226 (1987).

EPA Author: John R. Yearsley
Non-EPA Author: Dennis P. Lettenmaier

Environmental Research Laboratory-Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio Thomas A. Clark, Director

LEVEL 2 "Determination of Nonvolatile Organic Compounds in Aqueous Environmental Samples Using Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry," Analytical Chemistry 60: 2076-2083 (1988).

EPA Authors: Thomas A. Bellar and William L. Budde

Environmental Research Laboratory-Athens Athens, Georgia Rosemarie C. Russo, Director

GC/FT-IR Analysis of the Thermally Labile Compound
Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate," Journal High
Resolution Chromatography 12(5): 337-340 (1989).

EPA Authors: J. Jackson Ellington and
Timothy Collette
Non-EPA Author: William D. Payne

U.S. EPA Region VII Kansas City, Kansas Morris Kay, Regional Administrator

LEVEL 3 "Procedures Used to Measure the Amount of 2,3,7,8
AWARD Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in the Ambient Air Near a

Superfund Site Cleanup Operation," Environmental

Science Technology 21: 550-555 (1987).

EPA Authors: Billy J. Pairless, Dale I. Bates, Jody L.

Hudson, Robert D. Kloepfer, Thomas T.

Holloway, and Debra Morey

Non-EPA Author: Tony Babb

TRANSPORT AND FATE

Environmental Research Laboratory-GB Gulf Breeze, Florida Robert E. Menzer, Director

LEVEL 1
AWARD "Action of a fluoranthene-Utilizing Bacterial Community on Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Components of Creosote," Applied Environmental Microbiology 55(12): 3085-3090 (1989).

EPA Authors: James G. Mueller, Peter J. Chapman, and Parmely H. Pritchard

Environmental Research Laboratory-Athens Athens, Georgia Rosemarie C. Russo, Director

- LEVEL 1 "Reactivity of the Carbonate Radical With Analine Derivatives," Environmental Toxicology Chemistry 7:1-10 (1988).

 EPA Author: Richard G. Zepp
 Non-EPA Author: Richard A. Larson
- LEVEL 2 "Hydrolysis of Chlorostilbene Oxide: I. Hydrolysis in Homogeneous Systems. Environmental Toxicology Chemistry 8:553-562 (1989).

 EPA Author: N. Lee Wolf
 Non-EPA Author: Mohammed El-Sayed Metwally
- LEVEL 3 "Microbial Degradation of Seven Amides by Suspended AWARD Bacterial Populations," <u>Applied Environmental Microbiology</u> 55(10): 2545-2549 (1989).

EPA Authors: William C. Steen and Timothy W. Collette Robert S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory

Ada, Oklahoma Clinton W. Hall, Director

LEVEL 2 "Field Evaluation of a Simple Microcosm Simulating the Behavior of Volatile Organic Compounds in Subsurface Materials," Water Resources Research 23(8): 1547-1553 (1989).

EPA Authors: John T. Wilson and Garmon B. Smith
Non-EPA Authors: Jack W. Cochran, James F. Barker, and
Paul V. Roberts

Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina
Gary J. Foley, Director

LEVEL 3 "Contribution of Woodsmoke and Motor Vehicle Emissions to Ambient Aerosol Mutagenicity," Environmental Science Technology 22: 968-971 (1988).

EPA Authors: Charles W. Lewis, Ralph E. Baumgardner, Robert K. Stevens, Larry Claxton, and Joellen Lewtas

3.2 Honorable Mention

The Subcommittee also identified three papers of notable quality characterized by careful, thorough, extensive work, which did not reach the award level, but definitely deserve honorable mention. They are:

- a. A review article entitled, "Granular Activated Carbon: Design, Operation and Cost" by Dr. Benjamin W. Lykins and Dr. Robert M. Clark of the Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory in Cincinnati, Ohio.
- b. A review paper entitled, "Environmental Software at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Center for Exposure Assessment Modelling" by Dr. Robert B. Ambrose, Jr. and Dr. T.O. Barnwell, Jr. from the Environmental Research Laboratory in Athens, Georgia.
- c. An article entitled, "Experiences With Single-Species Tests for Acute Toxic Effects on Freshwater Animals" by Dr. Foster L. Mayer, Jr. from the Environmental Research Laboratory at Gulf Breeze, Florida and Dr. Mark Ellersieck from the University of Missouri.

3.3 Other Findings of the Subcommittee

The Subcommittee believes that the STAA program contributes very positively towards strengthening the level of Agency expertise by recognizing researchers for their special achievements. Therefore, we urge that the STAA program receive support from the highest levels of Agency management and that the winners receive broad recognition throughout the Agency. We are pleased to have participated in this process and believe that it is appropriate for the Board to continue this annual review function. The Subcommittee recommends that the Agency acknowledge these award winners through a special public ceremony or by a special publication, such as an <u>EPA Journal</u> feature article, which should be distributed to all EPA employees.

In the past, the Office of Exploratory Research has received nominations through the month of December, then OER reviews and verifies the nominations, and the Subcommittee is given about two months to read and evaluate the papers. This makes a tight schedule which apparently does not allow adequate time for verification of the nominations by OER and it makes it difficult for the Subcommittee to obtain special expertise if it is needed. The Subcommittee recommends that the Office of Research and Development close the nominations earlier, perhaps in October, to allow for more thorough review and verification.

During the review, the Subcommittee noted several instances in which the nominations did not conform to the rules of the STAA program. Several papers were improperly nominated by an author or first line supervisor. Many of the review articles, a new category this year, did not contain critical analysis or were not published in peer reviewed journals. Thus we did not recommend them for awards, however, we noted that the advice for review articles was confusing on these points. In several papers of high merit, we felt that the contribution of the EPA author was too small to justify an award recommendation. We recommend that the Office of Research and Development revise its guidance to nominators to further clarify criteria for nomination. addition, greater care should be exercised by the nominators to realistically appraise the contribution made by the authors and the OER to be sure that the nomination packages are complete; including proper endorsements and all of the peer reviewed articles by the EPA authors that are relevant to the achievement.